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70. S. v. The Oxford Dictionary of World Religions, 1997

YAKṢA See YAKKHA

YAMAKAPĀTHIHIĀRIYA stands for the "twin miracle", whose performance requires the simultaneous magical production of the opposite phenomena of fire and water. According to the description given in the Paṭisambhidāmagga, to execute the twin miracle requires the production of flames from the upper part of the body and water from the lower part, then water from the upper part and flames from the lower part, followed by shifting the place of creation of these two phenomena to various bodily parts (Ps. I, 125). The commentary on the Dhammapada records that this miracle was performed by the Buddha in Sāvatthi in reply to a challenge by non-Buddhist wanderers (Dhp. III, 213).1 The Nidānakathā to the Jātaka collection notes that the Buddha also performed the twin miracle right after his awakening; again when visiting his relatives at Kapilavatthu; and also when being challenged to a contest of magical powers by the wanderer Pāṭikaputta (J. I, 77).

The challenge by the wanderer Pāṭikaputta is also reported in the Paṭika Sutta, which, however, only mentions that the Buddha rose up into the air and displayed fire, without referring to any simultaneous production of water (D. III, 27). The Dīrgha Āgama parallel to the Paṭika Sutta does not even mention any display of fire, but only records that the Buddha rose up into the air (T. I, 69a26).2

The same is the case for a Saṃyutta Nikāya discourse that describes another occasion when the Buddha had risen up into the air and displayed fire (S. I, 144), where again the parallels in the two Saṃyukta Āgamas do not report any display of fire, but only that the Buddha had risen up into the air (T. II, 324c23 and T. II, 412c23). As the rationale of the Buddha's performance in this instance was to dispel a Brahmā's illusory belief that nobody else was able to reach his realm, a mere appearance in mid air would indeed have sufficed to make the point.

A performance of the twin miracle by the Buddha is also reported in the Saṃyukta Āgama parallel to the Adīta Sutta, in which case the Pāli version does not record any miraculous feat by the Buddha (T. II, 50b19 and S. IV, 19).3 Even Dabba Mallaputta performed the twin miracle when about to pass away according to the two extant Saṃyukta Āgama translations, (T. II, 280c3 and T. II, 378b5), while the account of his passing away in the Pāli Udāna only mentions that he rose up into the air and cremated his body, without recording that on that occasion he also performed the twin miracle (Ud. 92).

The lack of any reference to the magical production of fire in the parallel versions suggests the attribution of this phenomenon to the Buddha (or even to Dabba Mallaputta) to be a later development. Its origin might have been figurative references to fire, such as the description of the Buddha as one who shines with radiance (= fire), Buddha tapati tejasā (Dhp. 387); whose radiance (= fire) is comparable to the sun, yakṣicca va ... tejī tejasā (Sv. 1097); and who kindles the inner fire, ajjhāyam eva jatvī jotim, being always ablaze with [this internal] fire and concentrated, niccagāmi niccasamāhitatto (S. I, 169).

A description in the Mahāvastu of his first meditation under the Jambu tree when still a bodhisatvata also uses imagery related to fire, comparing him to a sacrificial fire on a mountain top, hūtāsana vā giri mūrdhanasmiṣa (Mh. u. I, 47); an imagery found in the same context also in the Lalitavistara (Lal. 92). The degree to which the fire imagery was associated with the Buddha can also be seen in representations of his first meditation in early Indian art, where sometimes the symbol of a burning fire is employed to represent the bodhisatvata seated in meditation. The figurative use of the fire imagery in the above-mentioned verses and passages may then at times have been taken literally, resulting in descriptions of the Buddha's magical ability to produce fire. In the course of time, the notion of the Buddha's magical production of fire might then have further developed into the motif of the twin miracle.
According to the *Saṅghabhedavastu*, when the Buddha would perform the twin miracle he not only simultaneously manifested water and fire, but also produced replicas of himself.5 The *Saṅghabhedavastu* reports that the bare twin miracle, i.e. without emanation of replicas, was also executed by Uruvilvā Kassapa and on two occasions by Mahāmoggallāna.6 A performance of the twin miracle by Uruvilvā Kassapa is also recorded in the *Madhyama Āgama* and in the two versions of the *Samyuktā Āgama* (T I, 497c22; T II, 279c3 and T II, 377b26); and the same feat by Mahāmoggallāna is described in the *Ekottarika Āgama* (T II, 641c14).

The *Mahāvastu* attributes a performance of the twin miracle to Yasa.7 The *Kṣudrakavastu* of the (Māla-)Sarvāstivāda Vinaya records that Mahāpajāpati Gotamī also carried out the twin miracle (T XXIV 248c9). According to a discourse in the *Ekottarika Āgama*, Mahāpajāpati Gotami together with five-hundred nuns performed the twin miracle, a performance undertaken according to the *Ekottarika Āgama* on another occasion also by a single nun (T II, 822a3 and T II, 750c11).

The *Divyāvadāna* explicitly specifies that disciples were able to execute the twin miracle (Divy. 161). In contrast, the *Pāṭisambhidāmagga* and the *Mūlaśrīmālā* maintain that only a Buddha can execute the twin miracle (Ps. 1, 125 and Miln. 106). Though the Pāli discourses and the Theravāda *Piṇāka* record instances when disciples displayed their ability to rise into space and attain the fire element, these performances did not involve the simultaneous production of water (S. I, 144; Vin. I 1180 and Vin. IV, 54). Consistent with the tendency to associate this phenomena only to the Buddha, the *Mahāvastu* then mentions two occasions when the twin miracle was performed by the Buddha's relics (Miln. 17.44 and 31.99).

Thus the motif of the twin miracle developed in various ways in the different Buddhist traditions. This development appears to testify to a progressive apotheosis of the Buddha as a wonder and miracle working being,8 a development that in its wake also led to an exaltation of his eminent disciples as endowed with similar powers and abilities.
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ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF BUDDHISM

Founder Editor-in Chief
G. P. MALALASEKERA, M. A., Ph. D., D. Litt., Professor Emeritus

Editor-in Chief
W. G. WEERARATNE, M. A., Ph. D.

VOLUME VIII

FASCICLE 3 : Vācā – Z hong a-han

2009